

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/401,740	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MALHOTRA, SHADI L.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Callie E. Shosho	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Judith Byorick.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 May 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
**Claims discussed:**

18,23

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Leyden et al. (U.S. 2002/0011693)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Byorick in order to distinguish claims 18 and 23 from Leyden et al. and thus avoid any potential rejections utilizing Leyden et al. against these claims under 35 USC 102.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. *Part II above.*  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)